



## United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO.            | FILING DATE      | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. |
|----------------------------|------------------|----------------------|---------------------|------------------|
| 09/158,982                 | 09/23/1998       | BRIAN R. BULLARD     | 029623/0129         | 4595             |
| 27189 7                    | 590 09/23/2004   |                      | EXAM                | INER             |
|                            | CORY, HARGREAVES | MARSCHEL, ARDIN H    |                     |                  |
| 530 B STREET<br>SUITE 2100 |                  | ART UNIT             | PAPER NUMBER        |                  |
| SAN DIEGO, CA 92101        |                  |                      | 1631                |                  |

DATE MAILED: 09/23/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

|  | Application No.  | Applicant(s)   |  |  |  |
|--|--|--|--|--|--|
|  | 09/158,982   | BULLARD ET AL.   |  |  |  |
| Office Action Summary  | Examiner   | Art Unit   |  |  |  |
|  | Ardin Marschel   | 1631   |  |  |  |
| The MAILING DATE of this communication app<br>Period for Reply   | pears on the cover sheet with the  | correspondence address   |  |  |  |
| A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL<br>THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1<br>after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a repl - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period of the period for reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). | 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be ting within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) day will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from CRUSH the application to become ARANDONE | nely filed  is will be considered timely.  the mailing date of this communication. |  |  |  |
| Status   |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 28 Ju   | <u>ıne 2004</u> .  |  |  |  |  |
|  | action is non-final.   |  |  |  |  |
| 3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is   |  |  |  |  |  |
| closed in accordance with the practice under E   | x parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 45   | 53 O.G. 213.   |  |  |  |
| Disposition of Claims  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>3 and 11-20</u> is/are pending in the application.   |  |  |  |  |  |
| 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.   |  |  |  |  |  |
| 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 6) Claim(s) 3 and 11-20 is/are rejected.   |  |  |  |  |  |
| 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement   |  |  |  |  |  |
| 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Application Papers   |  |  |  |  |  |
| 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.   |  |  |  |  |  |
| 10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ accepted or b)☐ objected to by the Examiner.  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).  11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.   |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | ammer. Note the attached Office  | Action or form PTO-152.  |  |  |  |
| Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119   |  |  |  |  |  |
| 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign  | oriority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-   | ·(d) or (f).   |  |  |  |
| a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:  1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.  |  |  |  |  |  |
| <ul> <li>1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.</li> <li>2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No</li> </ul>  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority  | v documents have been received   | in INO   |  |  |  |
| application from the International Bureau  | (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  | a in this National Stage   |  |  |  |
| * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.   |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Attachment(s)  1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)   | 57   |  |  |  |  |
| Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)     Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  | 4) 🔯 Interview Summary (I<br>Paper No(s)/Mail Date   |  |  |  |  |
| 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date   | 5) Notice of Informal Pa   |  |  |  |  |
| C Detect and Tradeway Office   | ·/ [   |  |  |  |  |

Application/Control Number: 09/158,982

Art Unit: 1631

## **DETAILED ACTION**

A request for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, including the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e), was filed in this application after final rejection. Since this application is eligible for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, and the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e) has been timely paid, the finality of the previous Office action has been withdrawn pursuant to 37 CFR 1.114. Applicant's submission, filed on 6/28/04, has been entered.

Applicants' arguments, filed 6/28/04, have been fully considered but they are not deemed to be persuasive. Rejections and/or objections not reiterated from previous office actions are hereby withdrawn. The following rejections and/or objections are either reiterated or newly applied. They constitute the complete set presently being applied to the instant application.

## LACK OF ENABLEMENT

Claims 3 and 11-20 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, as failing to comply with the enablement requirement. The claim(s) contains subject matter which was not described in the specification in such a way as to enable one skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and/or use the invention.

Factors to be considered in determining whether a disclosure would require undue experimentation have been summarized in <a href="Exparte Forman">Exparte Forman</a>, 230 USPQ 546 (BPAI 1986) and reiterated by the Court of Appeals in <a href="In re Wands">In re Wands</a>, 8 USPQ2d 1400 at 1404 (CAFC 1988). The factors to be considered in determining whether undue

Application/Control Number: 09/158,982

Art Unit: 1631

experimentation is required include: (1) the quantity of experimentation necessary, (2) the amount or direction presented, (3) the presence or absence of working examples, (4) the nature of the invention, (5) the state of the prior art, (6) the relative skill of those in the art, (7) the predictability or unpredictability of the art, and (8) the breadth of the claims.

The Board also stated that although the level of skill in molecular biology is high, the results of experiments in genetic engineering are unpredictable. While all of these factors are considered, a sufficient amount for a prima facie case are discussed below.

This rejection is maintained and reiterated from the previous office actions, mailed 11/19/03 and 6/15/04. Applicants invention is directed to displaying polynucleotide data but only argue a suggestion of generic usage thereof without setting forth a usage which predictably the invention may be applied to. For example, in claim 3 the first and second values are calculated by some undefined calculation to define a position at which the third measure of polynucleotide quantity is displayed as a peak. In order to predictably use such a resultant display the shape and/or topography resultant therefrom must indicate a useful analysis result. None has been set forth either in applicants' arguments or seen in the instant disclosure as filed. Thus, no enabling usage of the instant invention is seen thus supporting this rejection.

No claim is allowed.

Papers related to this application may be submitted to Technical Center 1600 by facsimile transmission. Papers should be faxed to Technical Center 1600 via the Central PTO Fax Center. The faxing of such papers must conform with the notices published in the Official Gazette, 1096 OG 30 (November 15, 1988), 1156 OG 61

Art Unit: 1631

(November 16, 1993), and 1157 OG 94 (December 28, 1993)(See 37 CFR § 1.6(d)). The Central PTO Fax Center number is (703) 872-9306.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Ardin Marschel, Ph.D., whose telephone number is (571) 272-0718. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday from 8 A.M. to 4 P.M.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Michael Woodward, Ph.D., can be reached on (571) 272-0722.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application should be directed to Legal Instrument Examiner, Tina Plunkett, whose telephone number is (571) 272-0549.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

September 18, 2004

Ardin 1. Marschel 9/18/04 ARDIN H. MARSCHEL PRIMARY EXCMITTER